

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/764,498 01/27/2004 Matthew J. Blackford 7590 06/14/2006		Matthew J. Blackford	221P127US01	6261	
		EXAMINER			
IPLM Group, P.A. Post Office Box 18455			CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C		
Minneapolis, MN 55418			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3634		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Applicat	ion No	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			198 	BLACKFORD ET AL.				
			er	Art Unit				
	The MAIL INC DATE of this comment		Chin-Shue	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply is specified above, the maximum state re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e inication. utory period will apply and vill, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION I went, however, may a reply be the common of the com	N. mely filed the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on 20 March 2006	:					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 March 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
· · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6,8,12-16 and 22</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· —)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,9-11 and 17-21</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,00.00.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	requirement.					
	on Papers							
	•	F						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119	by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	s Action of John 1	0-102.			
_	•		- d 05 II O O C 440/-	·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)ı	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
and the detailed office detail for a list of the certified copies flot received.								
Attachmen	tie)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.								
'3) 🛛 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/24/04</u> /		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTC	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/764,498

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 2

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1,3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Turner et al.

Claims 1,3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Franke.

Claims 9 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Olsen et al. '523. Olsen's connecting member is capable of being connected to an anchoring member.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Turner et al. The examiner takes official notice that the practice of forming a loop

Art Unit: 3634

in a strap or cable as a means for connecting the strap/cable to an object is a conventional practice, and to provide the end of his elongated member with a loop formed therein as a connecting means, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to in view of the conventional teaching.

Claims 2,9-11,17 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carson in view of Turner et al. Carson shows the claimed device with the exception of the ratcheting load binder having an elongated member with a connecting member. Turner shows a ratcheting load binder 60 having an elongated member 62 with a connecting member 66. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Carson to comprise a ratcheting member, elongated member and connecting member, as taught by Turner, in lieu of his means at 50, to enhance the anchoring force of his device. The examiner takes official notice that the practice of forming a loop in a strap or cable as a means for connecting the strap/cable to an object is a conventional practice, and to provide the end of his elongated member with a loop formed therein as a connecting means, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to in view of the conventional teaching.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,498

Art Unit: 3634

Page 4

Claims 6,8,12-16 and 22 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 3/29/06.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is 571-272-6828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alvin C. Chin-Shue

Examiner

Art Unit 3634